

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

PUBLIC SERVICES – Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department – Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section Mandavalli Krishna District – Departmental proceedings under Rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1991 on the allegations of irregularities in execution of work Road from Padamatipalem to Malaupparagudem – Article of charges – Communicated – Orders – Issued.

---

**PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (Vig.II) DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.Rt.No. 1702**

**DATED:21-11- 2009.**

<<O>>

**ORDER:**

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli, Krishna District, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the A.P.Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or mis-behaviour in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of articles of charges (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom, the articles of charge are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II & III).

3. Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli, Krishna District is directed to submit a written statement of his defence before the disciplinary authority within (10) days of the receipt of this Memo.

4. Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli, Krishna District is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of those article of charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli, Krishna District is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the time specified in para-3 above, further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli, Krishna District is invited to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Service (Conduct) Rules, 1964 under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that he is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule. 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Service (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

(P.T.O)

7. The receipt of G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

M.V.P.C.SASTRY  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section, Mandavalli Krishna District through Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj Hyderabad (with a request to serve and return the acknowledged copy and W.S.D).

Copy to:

The Director General, V&E, Hyderabad. (121(1444/V&E/E2/2006)

SF/SC

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER

## ANNEXURE I

### CHARGE:

The said Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section Mandavalli Krishna district has misused his official position for his personal gain and recorded the work R/F Padamatipalem to Malaupparagudem from 0/4 to 8/0 KM with abnormal deficiency in GSB Layer of more than 20% which is liable for rejection.

### BASIS:

As per V&E report No.121(1444/V&E/E2/2006 dt:21.8.2007 V&E department has inspected the work Improvements to R/F Padamatipalem to Mulauppargudem from KM 0/4 to 8/0 and observations made. The above work was taken up under PMGSY programme and administrative sanction was accorded for RS.116.04 lakhs vide G.O.Ms.No.1430 PR&RD (Progs.II) Dept dt 5.8.05 and technical sanction was accorded for RS.116.04 lakhs by the Chief Engineer PR.Hyd. The work was inspected by V&E department on 29.3.07. Further working estimate for the work was approved by the Chief Engineer PR Hyderabad for RS.1,17,70,000 with the following deviations.

- a) Carting earth was proposed in Village Limits and for the berms canal side of the road.
- b) Sub base (GSB) with 100 mm thick from KM (0/4 to 8/0)

### Observations:

The width of carriage way was verified and found to be 3.00 mts as specified but shortage in thickness of Bitumen layer WMB Gr.II Gr.III layer and in GSB layer (sub base)in KM4 KM5 and KM6 was observed as under.

Chainages	Description of the Layer	Observed storage in Thickness	Percentage of deficiency	Recovery	Remarks
KM4	OGPC+Seal coat	2.00	10%	1 time	
	GSB	38.75	38.75%	--	Rejection
KM5	WBM Gr.III	4.00	5.33%	1 time	
	WBM Gr.II	4.00	5.33%	1 time	
	GSB	47.75	47.75%	--	Rejection
KM6	GSB	8.00	8.00%	1 time	

Sri P.Sureshbabu, Assistant Executive Engineer, incharge of execution while accepting the abnormal deficiency in GSB thickness submitted that the original road was badly damaged and since no leveling course was approved, the thickness of GSB was laid for more than required thickness on left side. Though the GSB thickness of left side is more as stated the average thickness is less than the required 100 mm as the same is only 52.5 mm in KM5 and 61.5 mm in KM4.

### Findings:

- i) It is observed that the deficiency is 38.75% and 47.75% in thickness of GSB (Sub base)in 4<sup>th</sup> ad 5<sup>th</sup> KM as against maximum permissible 20% as per circulars on quality control.

(P.T.O)

As seen from the working estimate approved for the work by the Chief Engineer PR Hyderabad. The GSB(Sub base)thickness was reduced from 150 mm proposed in the original sanctioned estimate to 100 mm for the reach from KM 0/4 to JN 5/0 to KM 8/0 citing the erosion due to floods as reason. When the STA had approved the provisions indiscriminate modifications in execution reveal that the proposals submitted in initial stages were non realistic and do not represent the correct site conditions.

As per the agreement 5 Nos of CD works were provided but the same were deleted as per the executed items. If 5 Nos of CD works are required as per the field conditions to protect the road work in all seasons, non execution of the same defies any logic and indicates the carelessness with which the estimates were prepared.

Thus the A.O.has failed to discharge his legitimate duties properly.

Hence the charge.

M.V.P.C.SASTRY  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

SECTION OFFICER

## **ANNEXURE II**

**List of Documents relied upon to sustain the charges which are framed against Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section Mandavalli Krishna District.**

GA V& E report No.121(1444/V&E/E2/06 dt 21.8.07.

**M.V.P.C.SASTRY  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

**SECTION OFFICER**

## **ANNEXURE III**

**List of witnesses examined to sustain the charges are framed against Sri P.Suresh Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer, P.R. Section Mandavalli Krishna District**

**NIL**

**M.V.P.C.SASTRY  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

**SECTION OFFICER**